

## DETERMINING THE CHARACTERISTICS TO BE CONSIDERED FROM A WORKER HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDPOINT IN HOUSEHOLD WASTE SORTING AND COMPOSTING PLANTS\*

Jacques Lavoie<sup>1</sup>, Robert Alie<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Recherche en Santé et en Sécurité du Travail (IRSST), Montreal, Quebec, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Régie Régionale-Montérégie, St-Hubert, Quebec, Canada

Lavoie J, Alie R: Determining the characteristics to be considered from a worker health and safety standpoint in household waste sorting and composting plants. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 1997, **4**, 123-128.

**Abstract:** This report presents the results of a study of chemical and biological contaminants and ergonomic hazards in two (A and B) household waste treatment centers (the only ones in the province). The goals of this study were to document the nuisances (chemical and biological) in a composting and sorting plant, and to interpret these data by comparing them to the standards and recommendations reported in the scientific and technical literature. Microbial samples of air were collected using the methodology recommended by the ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials) in their protocol E 884-82. Chemical contaminants were measured using the IRSST's standard methods. In the reception areas of centers A and B, and in the fermentation buildings, the total bacteria concentrations were higher than the maximum suggested level of 10,000 colonies per cubic meter of air (cfu/m<sup>3</sup>) set for this type of activity. When the concentrations of Gram-negative bacteria are compared to the maximum level of 1,000 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air, no sampling station exceeded this value. The maximum concentration of thermophilic actinomycetes (15,000 ±150 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air) was found in center B's fermentation building. Concentrations of *Aspergillus fumigatus* were significantly higher ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) than in the outdoor air in all workstations of plant B's and in plant A's fermentation building. Total mold concentrations were significantly higher ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) than in the outdoor air at center A's reception area, and in both centers' fermentation buildings. The concentrations of chemical contaminants in center B's fermentation building were 50% less than the regulated exposure value, and higher than this 50% of the regulated exposure value for ammonia, carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide in center A. Finally, the quality of the outdoor air, 100 meters downwind, does not seem to be affected by the operations performed in these centers.

**Address for correspondence:** Jacques Lavoie, Institut de Recherche en Santé et en Sécurité du Travail (IRSST), 505 de Maisonneuve West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 3C2.

**Key words:** chemical and biological contaminants, bioaerosol, ergonomic hazards, household waste, sorting, composting, occupational exposure, prevention.

### INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a study of chemical and biological contaminants and ergonomic hazards in two sorting and composting centers in the province of Quebec. In fact, since this type of workplace is new and

relatively unknown, it is important to pursue research on the evaluation of the aggressors, mainly those of a chemical, biological and ergonomic nature [11]. The data collected can be useful in developing not only knowledge about these workplaces but also health programs adapted to the risks to which workers are exposed.

Received: 13 September 1996

Accepted: 18 November 1996

\*Presented at the International Meeting: "Waste Collection and Recycling - Bioaerosol Exposure and Health Problems", Køge, Denmark, 13-14 September 1996.

The goals of this project are:

- a) to document the nuisances (chemical, biological and ergonomic) in sorting and composting centers, and
- b) to interpret these data by referring to the standards and recommendations reported in the scientific and technical literature.

According to the literature consulted, waste sorting and composting has risks of a chemical, physical, microbiological and ergonomic nature as well as risks to worker safety [18, 24]. Sorting may be one of the processes most likely to expose workers to high concentrations of Gram-negative bacteria [18, 21, 24]. The endotoxins released by these bacteria can produce symptoms ranging from irritation of the mucous membranes to gastrointestinal and respiratory problems [2, 4, 5, 14, 21, 22, 25, 33, 34]. In fact, according to a Danish report, several studies have indicated that workers in recycling and sorting plants are exposed to increased risk of musculoskeletal and respiratory problems (bronchitis, chest congestion, etc.), organic dust toxic syndrome (ODTS), gastrointestinal problems, and skin, mucous membrane and eye irritation [36, 37]. Other types of microorganisms can also cause infectious illness or have toxic effects by entering the respiratory system [21, 24]. These microorganisms include thermophilic actinomycetes, due to the temperatures reached by the compost, and thermoresistant molds such as *Aspergillus fumigatus* [4, 21, 22, 24]. Lauwerys [16] mentions that the handling of compost in mushroom production companies may cause extrinsic allergic alveolitis (bagassosis, farmer's lung). *Aspergillus fumigatus*, which is sometimes pathogenic, may cause lung infections in immunodeficient individuals as well as aggravate the symptoms in asthmatics [2, 16, 26, 27, 33].

The literature on sorting-composting centers reports concentrations of total bacteria above 20,000 colonies per cubic metre of air (cfu/m<sup>3</sup>), concentrations of Gram-negative bacteria above 6,000 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air, concentrations of molds above 10,000 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air, and concentrations of endotoxins varying from 480 to 990 ng/m<sup>3</sup> of air [24, 25, 31, 36]. These values are of the same order of magnitude as those found in a Quebec sorting-composting center during an IRSST study [18].

There are no Quebec or international standards on microorganisms and their toxins. However, based on earlier studies on wastewater treatment and on composting plants, the following guidelines have been proposed [24, 25, 31]:

- Total bacteria: 10<sup>4</sup> cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air.
- Gram-negative bacteria: 10<sup>3</sup> cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air.

The chemical contaminants involved in composting are nitrogen compounds (NO<sub>x</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub>), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and if anaerobic conditions are present, hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) [11, 21, 24, 30]. In waste handling, the chemical substances that the workers could come in contact with, either through inhalation or through skin contact, essentially depend on the nature of the waste.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The population served by center A is approximately 50,000 people. The waste is first sorted by the citizens. To begin, the waste is dumped into a pit inside the plant. The fermentation cylinder (bioreactor) where composting takes place is continuously fed from this pit. At the bioreactor outlet, the compost is refined by mechanical sorting, and the recyclable material recovered by manual sorting. The compost is placed in windrows in the fermentation building and turned until it matures. The capacity of this system is 42 × 10<sup>6</sup> kilograms per year. The plant operates twelve hours per day, seven days per week, and employs twelve people in operations and maintenance. The description of center B is the following: the composting operation consists of pretreatment (shredding of waste and sorting with a trommel screen), and then the actual composting. The waste is placed in windrows with a wheel loader. Subsequently, the waste is transported to a curing zone outside. Eventually there is another sorting with a trommel screen. The trommel screen waste is incinerated. Note that there are no bioreactors. Household waste is sorted by the citizens. The amount of waste treated is 145,000 kilograms per week during the summer, and between 68,000 and 90,700 kilograms during the winter.

The concentrations of microorganisms measured during a preliminary study have shown that more than five samplings is enough to demonstrate statistically significant differences with the recommended levels ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) [7, 38]. This number of samplings agrees with that recommended by the ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials) in their protocol E 884-82 [3]. The microorganisms in the air were sampled using Andersen impactors (Andersen Instruments Incorporated, Atlanta, USA), as recommended by the ASTM [3]. The total coefficient of variation for this method is 0.23 for waste treatment plants [20].

The microbiological contaminants measured in the air and the culture media used were the following:

- SDA (Sabouraud dextrose agar, Quelab Laboratories, Montreal, QC, Canada). Total mold samples were incubated for seven days at ambient temperature. *Aspergillus fumigatus* samples were incubated for seven days at 45°C.
- TSA (Trypticase soya agar, Quelab Laboratories, Montreal, QC, Canada). Total bacteria samples were incubated for 48 hours at 35°C. Thermophilic actinomycetes (thermoactinomycetes) samples were incubated for 48 hours at 55°C.
- MacConkey medium (Quelab Laboratories, Montreal, QC, Canada). Gram-negative bacteria were incubated for 48 hours at 37.5°C.

The samples were collected at each of the workstations or sections of the plant, as recommended in the ASTM protocol [3]. In center A, there are nine workstations, including the outdoor air which is used for comparison during the summer only. In center B, there are five. The

**Table 1.** Average concentrations of biological contaminants ( $\pm$ standard deviation) in center A.

Contaminant		Total bacteria		Gram neg.		Thermoactino.		<i>A. fumigatus</i>		Molds	
Guidelines*		10,000		1,000		-		-		-	
		n	cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	n	cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	n	cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	n	cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	n	cfu/m <sup>3</sup>
Upwind	Summer	6	2,300 (1,150)	6	12 (18)	6	N.d.	6	20 (1)	3	6 (14)
	Winter	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.
Downwind	Summer	6	5,490 <sup>a</sup> (2,550)	6	N.d.	6	6 (14)	6	6 (14)	5	1,100 (90)
	Winter	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.
Air intake	Summer	6	6,970 <sup>a</sup> (2,325)	6	12 (18)	5	60 <sup>a</sup> (20)	6	11 (18)	6	1,190 (180)
	Winter	5	4,400 (1,590)	6	110 (100)	6	12 (30)	6	25 (40)	6	50 (80)
Control room	Summer	6	4,750 <sup>a</sup> (660)	6	N.d.	4	730 <sup>a</sup> (300)	6	1,040 <sup>a</sup> (270)	2	2,230 <sup>a</sup> (580)
	Winter	5	2,840 (1,740)	6	N.d.	4	210 <sup>a</sup> (120)	5	170 <sup>a</sup> (110)	2	2,910 <sup>a</sup> (330)
Bioreact. out	Summer	6	2,210 (540)	6	N.d.	5	160 <sup>a</sup> (140)	5	55 <sup>a</sup> (30)	6	1,650 (810)
	Winter	4	3,360 (970)	6	N.d.	5	50 <sup>a</sup> (30)	5	560 <sup>a</sup> (560)	5	1,800 <sup>a</sup> (250)
Sorting	Summer	6	3,940 (1,650)	6	18 (19)	3	150 <sup>a</sup> (55)	6	N.d.	4	60 (20)
	Winter	6	1,925 (1,025)	6	150 (10)	5	90 (90)	5	620 <sup>a</sup> (420)	6	1,020 <sup>a</sup> (280)
Bioreactor	Summer	6	4,715 (2,585)	5	N.d.	3	700 <sup>a</sup> (230)	4	70 <sup>a</sup> (30)	4	1,120 (150)
	Winter	6	2,560 (820)	6	N.d.	5	90 <sup>a</sup> (40)	6	100 <sup>a</sup> (60)	5	560 <sup>a</sup> (130)
Reception	Summer	6	53,690 <sup>a</sup> (16,000)	3	310 <sup>a</sup> (80)	4	2,330 <sup>a</sup> (710)	5	N.d.	4	13,670 <sup>a</sup> (425)
	Winter	4	68,920 <sup>a</sup> (20,340)	6	510 <sup>a</sup> (110)	5	340 <sup>a</sup> (270)	5	630 <sup>a</sup> (420)	6	14,380 <sup>a</sup> (730)
Fermentation	Summer	6	168,440 <sup>a</sup> (2,270)	2	70 <sup>a</sup> (45)	4	2,790 <sup>a</sup> (1760)	6	Invasion	4	13,670 <sup>a</sup> (425)
	Winter	5	5,090 (1,590)	6	N.d.	5	4,610 <sup>a</sup> (910)	5	12,000 <sup>a</sup> (2,900)	6	10,180 <sup>a</sup> (1,130)

<sup>a</sup>Average concentrations significantly greater ( $p \leq 0.05$ , Student t-test) than those in the upwind outdoor air; N.m.: Not measured; N.d.: Not detected;

\*Recommended guidelines [24, 25, 31].

ASTM recommends collecting these outdoor samples 300 metres upwind from the plant and 100 metres downwind [3].

The chemical contaminants were measured in the compost fermentation area at the same time as the biological contaminants, at a height of 1.5 m, continuously for one day, with direct-reading monitors connected to a data collection module (Model DLX-100, D.E.S. Corporation, Quebec, QC, Canada). It should be noted that these measurements covered the windrow turning period. The procedures took place in summer, when the amount of waste is at maximum, and during winter, under different ventilation conditions. N<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and CO were measured with a multi-gas photoacoustic spectroscopic monitor (Model 1302, Brüel and Kjaer, Pointe-Claire, QC, Canada) with a detection limit of 0.025 ppm for N<sub>2</sub>O, 0.3 ppm for NH<sub>3</sub>, 3 ppm for CO<sub>2</sub>, and 0.15 ppm for CO. Hydrogen sulfide was measured with an electrochemical monitor (Model 4173, Interscan Corp., Chatsworth, CA) with a detection limit of 0.4 ppm. NO and NO<sub>2</sub> were measured with personal electrochemical monitors (Toxilog, Biosystems Inc., Middlefield, CT). Detection limits are 0.1 ppm for NO<sub>2</sub> and 1.0 ppm for NO. Total dust samples were collected on polyvinyl chloride filters with a pore size of 0.8  $\mu$ m (Omega Specialty Instrument Co., Chelmsford, MA),

using high-volume pumps (Gilian Instrument Corp., Wayne, NJ) and quantified by gravimetry. The detection limit and total coefficient of variation for this method was 25  $\mu$ g and < 7%, respectively. The flow rate of the sampling pumps was approximately 2 l/min and sampling times were approximately one hour per filter. Flow rates were measured on-site using a Kurz precalibrated flowmeter (Kurz Instruments Inc., Carmel Valley, CA).

Results are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) and because the data were best described by a normal distribution, means have been compared by Student's t-test.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tables 1 to 3 present the results of air sampling analysis. Among other things, Tables 1 and 2 contain statistically significant differences ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) between the average indoor concentrations and those found 300 metres upwind from the plant, for the evaluations carried out in summer.

**Total bacteria.** In summer, in the reception areas and fermentation buildings of the two centers, the average total bacteria concentrations were greater than the suggested average level of 10,000 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air for this

**Table 2.** Average concentrations of biological contaminants ( $\pm$  standard deviation) in center B.

Contaminant		Total bacteria		Gram neg.		Thermoactino.		<i>A. fumigatus</i>		Molds	
Guidelines*		10,000		1,000		-		-		-	
		n	cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	n	cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	n	cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	n	cfu/m <sup>3</sup>	n	cfu/m <sup>3</sup>
Upwind	Summer	6	870 (380)	6	20 (1)	3	60 (40)	6	20 (1)	3	1,690 (60)
	Winter	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.
Downwind	Summer	6	1,710 <sup>a</sup> (430)	6	20 (1)	6	30 (10)	6	20 (1)	4	1,600 (60)
	Winter	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.	N.m.
Control room	Summer	6	6,580 <sup>a</sup> (1,000)	6	30 (10)	3	3,930 <sup>a</sup>	2	110 <sup>a</sup> (50)	3	1,000 (180)
	Winter	6	9,970 (3,520)	6	N.d.	4	(1,340) 200 (90)	6	175 (90)	4	1,100 (60)
Reception	Summer	5	14,290 <sup>a</sup> (9,290)	6	190 <sup>a</sup> (180)	5	3,720 <sup>a</sup>	3	60 <sup>a</sup> (40)	5	2,330 (1,460)
	Winter	5	27,000 (7,160)	6	410 (210)	4	(1,550) 80 (30)	5	2,980 (460)	5	11,900 (460)
Fermentation	Summer	6	87,020 <sup>a</sup> (1,070)	3	200 <sup>a</sup> (110)	6	15,000 <sup>a</sup> (150)	5	310 <sup>a</sup> (190)	6	10,540 <sup>a</sup> (1,720)
	Winter	3	11,350 (900)	6	10 (20)	4	110 (60)	4	200 (60)	4	340 (175)

<sup>a</sup>Average concentrations significantly greater ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) than those in the upwind outdoor air; N.m.: Not measured; N.d.: Not detected;

\*Recommended guidelines [24, 25, 31].

type of activity. Respectively, they were 53,690 ( $\pm 16,000$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> and 168,440 ( $\pm 2,270$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air for center A, and 14,290 ( $\pm 9,270$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> and 87,020 ( $\pm 1,070$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air for center B. During the winter, they were greater at the same locations for center B, with 27,000 ( $\pm 7,160$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> and 11,350 ( $\pm 900$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> respectively, and greater only in center A's reception area with 68,920 ( $\pm 20,340$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air. Waste and compost storage appears to be a major source of total bacteria, regardless of the season.

**Gram-negative bacteria.** When average concentrations are compared to the recommended level of 1,000 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air, none of the sampling stations exceeded this value, regardless of the season. The way the operations are carried out, it appears that emission into the air of this type of microorganism and possibly its toxins can be controlled.

**Thermophilic actinomycetes.** The average temperature of the compost is an ideal climate for thermophilic bacteria to proliferate [14, 19, 22, 24, 25]. The maximum average concentration in this study was 15,000 ( $\pm 150$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air, measured during the summer in center B's fermentation building. In contrast to total bacteria and Gram-negative bacteria, there are no suggested exposure levels for this type of microorganism. In other types of environments such as mushroom growing, concentrations of thermoactinomycetes of  $10^5$ – $10^7$  cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air have been measured [8, 13]. According to Miller [28], concentrations in the order of  $10^8$  cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air are necessary for the development of acute symptoms. In several studies on composting, exposures to thermoactinomycetes are in the range of  $10^5$ – $10^8$  cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air, and Lacey *et al.* [14, 31] have suggested that exposures greater than  $10^6$  cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air would produce an increased risk of extrinsic allergic alveolitis and ODTS.

**Table 3.** Average concentrations of chemical contaminants ( $\pm$  standard deviation) in the fermentation departments of centers A and B.

Contaminant		TPM <sup>a</sup>		CO <sub>2</sub>		NH <sub>3</sub>		N <sub>2</sub> O		CO		H <sub>2</sub> S		NO		NO <sub>2</sub>	
Standards		10		5000		25		50		35		10		25		5	
		n	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	n	ppm	n	ppm	n	ppm	n	ppm	n	ppm	n	ppm	n	ppm
Centre A																	
Fermentation	Summer	9	0.8 (0.1)	33	1,740 (440)	32	20 (6.5)	33	2.0 (0.5)	33	1.2 (1.0)	20	7.8 (1.1)	33	0.5 (0.5)	66	0.1 (0.1)
	Winter	5	0.37 (0.1)	42	4,030 (540)	42	20.6 (1.5)	43	6.2 (0.9)	41	7.3 (1.6)	17	3.5 (0.5)	60	N.d.	60	N.d.
Centre B																	
Fermentation	Summer	7	0.3 (0.04)	23	575 (60)	21	8.1 (2.7)	20	0.9 (0.1)	21	0.5 (0.3)	17	N.d.	56	N.d.	34	N.d.
	Winter	1 4	0.1 (0.1)	30	1,020 (130)	30	3 (0.6)	30	1.9 (0.2)	30	1.0 (0.1)	30	N.d.	30	N.d.	30	N.d.

<sup>a</sup>Total Particulate Matter; N.d.: Not detected.

***Aspergillus fumigatus*.** This species of thermoresistant mold is mainly encountered when there is compost or substrates with a temperature greater than or equal to 45°C, such as in farms where moldy hay is stored [13, 21, 22, 25, 28]. The precise dose that produces health effects in healthy or sensitized exposed individuals is not yet known [27, 28, 34]. Clark *et al.* [6] measured concentrations of this mold in the order of  $10^6$  cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air in some composting plant departments. In this study, a maximum average concentration of 12,000 ( $\pm 2,900$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air was measured in winter in center A's fermentation building. Also, during the summer, significantly higher average concentrations ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) than upwind in the outdoor air were measured at all workstations in both centers. However, these concentrations remain low when compared to those found in the scientific literature on this type of plant.

**Molds.** Molds were present at average concentrations varying from 60 ( $\pm 20$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air in center A's sorting area during the summer, to 14,380 ( $\pm 730$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air in the reception area of the same center during the winter. Concentrations in the order of  $10^2$ - $10^6$  cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air have been measured in other studies on composting centers [31]. In another Quebec study in a composting center, Marchand *et al.* [26] measured concentrations of molds in the order of  $10^3$  cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air. Exposure to mold spores has been linked to allergic alveolitis and ODTS [10].

Due to the high concentrations of total bacteria and the presence of molds, thermoactinomycetes and *A. fumigatus*, it has been suggested that a breathing mask that is effective against dusts larger than one micron and that has a layer of active carbon to eliminate odors should be worn in the fermentation building and in the reception area. It would also be preferable to use disposable masks to avoid the proliferation of organisms when the masks are not being worn.

Finally, at the microbial level, outdoor air quality 100 metres downwind does not seem to be affected by the operations carried out in these two centers.

**Chemical contaminants.** Gaseous and particulate contaminants were measured in the fermentation buildings of the two centers (Tab. 3). For center B, the average concentrations were all below 50% of their regulated exposure value, regardless of the season [32]. At center A, the average concentrations were greater than 50% of their regulated exposure value for hydrogen sulfide and ammonia during the summer, and for carbon dioxide and ammonia during the winter. According to the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), NH<sub>3</sub> is a colorless and very irritating gas [1]. H<sub>2</sub>S is also colorless and has an odor of rotten eggs [1]. It has been suggested that the three exhaust fans should be operating when the windrows are turned in order to dilute these concentrations.

## CONCLUSIONS

The average concentrations of total bacteria in the reception areas and in the fermentation buildings of the two centers were greater than the suggested level of 10,000 cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air. Waste and compost storage therefore appears to be a major source of bacteria. For Gram-negative bacteria, none of the workstations, regardless of the season, exceeded the suggested exposure level.

The maximum average concentration of thermoactinomycetes of 15,000 ( $\pm 150$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air, measured during the summer at center B, does not appear to produce health effects in the workers, according to the literature consulted. A maximum average concentration of 12,000 ( $\pm 2,900$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of *A. fumigatus* in the air was measured in winter in center A's fermentation building. The precise dose that produces health effects in workers does not appear to be known. The average concentrations measured in this study are nevertheless low when compared to those found in the scientific literature on this type of activity. Molds were present at average concentrations varying from 60 ( $\pm 20$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air to 14,380 ( $\pm 730$ ) cfu/m<sup>3</sup> of air. Concentrations in the order of  $10^2$ - $10^6$  cfu/m<sup>3</sup> have already been measured in other studies on composting.

Due to the high concentrations of total bacteria and the presence of thermoactinomycetes, *A. fumigatus* and molds, it has been suggested that effective respiratory protection should be worn in the fermentation buildings and the reception areas of both plants.

In center B, the chemical contaminants were below 50% of their regulated exposure value, regardless of the season. In center A, some contaminants such as ammonia, hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide exceeded this level. It has been suggested that all exhaust fans should be operating when the windrows are turned in order to dilute the concentrations of these contaminants.

Finally, outdoor air quality at the microbial level does not appear to be affected by the operations carried out in these centers.

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank Yves Beaudet, Claude Létourneau, Carole Pépin, Geneviève Marchand, Micheline Éloquin, Jean-Nil Maltais and Guillaume Lachapelle for field work and laboratory analysis.

## REFERENCES

1. ACGIH: *Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values. Ammonia and Hydrogen Sulfide*, 4th ed. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Cincinnati, Ohio 1984.
2. APHA: *Control of Communicable Diseases in Man*, Abram S Benenson (Ed). American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C. 1985.
3. ASTM: Standard practice for sampling airborne microorganisms at municipal solid-waste processing facilities. Designation E 884 - 82. **In:** *American Society for Testing and Materials Standards on Materials and Environmental Microbiology*, 2nd ed., 42-45, 1993.
4. Clark CS: Health effects associated with wastewater treatment and disposal. *Journal WPCF* 1984, **56**, 625-626.
5. Clark CS: Potential and actual biological related health risks of wastewater industry employment. *Journal WPCF* 1987, **59**, 999-1007.

6. Clark CS, Rylander R, Larsson L: Levels of gram-negative bacteria, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, dust and endotoxin at compost plants. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1983, **45**, 1501-1505.
7. Colton T: *Statistics in Medicine*. Little Brown and Co., Boston 1974.
8. Crook B: Exposure to airborne microorganisms in the industrial workplace. *J Aerosol Sci* 1992, **23**, S559-S562.
9. Crook B, Higgins S, Lacey J: *Airborne Micro-organisms Associated with Domestic Waste Disposal*, 1-119. Crop Protection Division, AFRC Institute of Arable Crops Research, Rothamsted Experimental Station, Harpenden, Herts. 1987.
10. Eduard W, Sandven P, Levy F: Serum antibodies to mold spores in two Norwegian sawmill populations: Relationship to respiratory and other work-related symptoms. *Am J Ind Med* 1993, **24**, 207-222.
11. Gouvernement du Canada: *Le Plan Vert du Canada*. Ministère de l'Environnement, Ottawa 1990.
12. Haug RT: *The Practical Handbook of Compost Engineering*. Lewis Publishers, Ann Arbor, MA 1993.
13. Lacey J, Crook B: Fungal and actinomycetes spores as pollutants of the workplace and occupational allergens. *Ann Occup Hyg* 1988, **32**, 515-533.
14. Lacey J, Williamson P, King P, Bardos RP: *Airborne Microorganisms Associated with Domestic Waste Composting*. AFRC Institute of Arable Crops Research, Rothamsted Experimental Station, Harpenden, Herts. 1990.
15. Laitinen S, Nevalainen A, Kotimaa M, Liesivuori J, Martikainen PJ: Relationship between bacterial counts and endotoxin concentrations in the air of wastewater treatment plants. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1992, **58**, 3774-3776.
16. Lauwerys R: *Toxicologie Industrielle et Intoxications Professionnelles*. 3<sup>e</sup> édition, Masson, Paris 1990.
17. Lavoie J: *L'échantillonnage des Microorganismes dans le Milieu de Travail*. Étude/bilan de connaissance, Institut de Recherche en Santé et en Sécurité du Travail du Québec, Montréal 1988.
18. Lavoie J: Évaluation des bioaérosols dans une usine de recyclage et de compostage de déchets domestiques. In: *Actes du Congrès du 14<sup>e</sup> Congrès de l'Association pour l'Hygiène Industrielle au Québec*. Trois-Rivières (Québec) 1992.
19. Lavoie J, Marchand G, Drolet J-Y, Gingras G: Biological and chemical contamination of the air in a grower-finisher pig building using deep-litter systems. *Canadian Agricultural Engineering* 1995, **37**, 195-203.
20. Lembke LL, Kniseley RN, Van Nostrand RC, Hale MD: Precision of the all-glass impinger and the Andersen microbial impactor for air sampling in solid-waste handling facilities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1981, **42**, 222-225.
21. Lessard S: Compostage. *Des Déchets Verts Domestiques et des Boues de Stations d'Épuration: Synthèse des Connaissances Concernant les Risques pour la Santé*. Comité de Santé Environnementale des DSC du Québec, DSC Hôpital de l'Enfant Jésus, 1992.
22. Lundholm M, Rylander R: Occupational symptoms among compost workers. *J Occup Med* 1980, **22**, 256-257.
23. Malmros P: *Get Wise on Waste. A Book about Health and Waste-Handling*. The Danish Working Environment Services, Copenhagen 1992.
24. Malmros P: *Problems with the Working Environment in Solid Waste Treatment*. Report # 10/1990. The National Labour Inspection of Denmark, Copenhagen 1990.
25. Malmros P, Sigsgaard T, Bach B: Occupational health problems due to garbage sorting. *Waste Manage Res* 1992, **10**, 227-234.
26. Marchand G, Lavoie J, Lazure L: Evaluation of bioaerosols in a municipal solid waste recycling and composting plant. *J Air and Waste Manage Assoc* 1995, **45**, 778-781.
27. Maritato MC, Algeo ER, Keenan RE: The *Aspergillus fumigatus* debate: Potential human health concern. *Biocycle* 1992, **December** 70-72.
28. Miller JD: Fungi as contaminants in indoor air. *Atmos Environ* 1992, **26A**, 2163-2172.
29. Mustin M: *Le Compost. Gestion de la Matière Organique*. Éditions François Dubuc, Paris 1987.
30. Dillon MM: *Composting. A Literature Study*. Report prepared by Cal Recovery Systems Incorporated and Ontario Ministry of the Environment, ISBN 0-7729-7125-0, Ontario 1990.
31. Poulsen OM, Breum N, Ebbenhøj N, Hansen ÅM, Ivens UI, van Lelieveld D, Malmros P, Mathiasen L, Nielsen BH, Nielsen EM, Schibye B, Skov T, Stenbæk EI, Wilkins KC: Sorting and recycling of domestic waste. Review of occupational health problems and their possible causes. *Sci Tot Environ* 1995, **168**, 33-56.
32. Règlement sur la qualité du milieu de travail. S-2.1, r.15. Éditeur Officiel du Québec, 1994.
33. Rylander R, Snella MC: Endotoxins and the lungs: Cellular reactions and risks for diseases. *Prog Allergy* 1983, **33**, 332-344.
34. Santé et Bien-être Social du Canada: Signification de la présence des champignons dans l'air intérieur des édifices: Rapport d'un groupe de travail. *Revue Canadienne de Santé Publique* 1987, **78**, S17-S32.
35. Shellito JE: Hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Seminars in Respiratory Medicine* 1991, **12**, 196-203.
36. Sigsgaard T, Bach B, Malmros P: Respiratory impairment among workers in a garbage-handling plant. *Am J Ind Med* 1990, **17**, 92-93.
37. The Danish Working Environment Service Programme: *Overall Protocol for the Research Programme "Garbage and Recycling" 1994-98*. J. # 1994-23-16.
38. United States Department of Commerce: *Experimental Statistics*. 2nd ed. National Bureau of Standards Handbook 91, Washington, D.C. 1966.