Effect of centrifuge test on blood serum lipids index of cadet pilots
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Abstract

Introduction and objective. This study aimed at investigating the relationship between the lipid index (WS) in the examined cadets and duration of exposure to +Gz in the human centrifuge.

Materials and methods. The study involved 19 first-year cadets of the Polish Air Force Academy in Dęblin. Tests in the human centrifuge were repeated twice, i.e. prior to (test I) and 45 days after (test II). After exposure to +Gz, the examined cadets were divided into 2 groups. Group I (N=11) included cadets subjected to a shorter total duration of exposure to +Gz, while group II (N=8) included cadets with a longer total duration of exposure to +Gz. Total cholesterol (TC), high density lipoprotein (HDL), triglycerides (TG), and apolipoproteins A₁ and B were assayed in blood serum prior to (assay A) and after (assay B) both exposures to +Gz. Low density lipoprotein (LDL) level was estimated from the Friedewald formula. WS is an own mathematical algorithm.

Results. WS was higher in group II, assay A – 10.0 and B – 10.08 of test I in the human centrifuge than in group I where the WS values were 6.91 and 6.96, respectively. WS was also higher in group II in assay A – 10.0 and B -10.1 of test II in the human centrifuge than in group I – 6.96 and 6.80, respectively.

Conclusions. The higher value of WS in group II, both after the first and second exposure to +Gz in human centrifuge, in comparison with group I, indicated its usefulness for determination of the maximum capability of applying acceleration of the interval type during training in the human centrifuge.
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INTRODUCTION

Human centrifuge training and +Gz tolerance testing are essential components of the Air Force Academy cadet training. The Lipid Index (WS) assessment of body fitness, used by Wochyński and Sobiech, is one of the factors to estimate capability to perform human centrifuge manoeuvres. Skill of muscle straining maneuvers, dependent on the existing endurance level [1] and muscle strength [2] of an isometric character, is of importance in such training. The most frequently used body loads during exposure to +Gz in the human centrifuge is an acceleration profile, being a variation of interval-type acceleration.

The authors’ long experience in clinical trials and physical fitness studies in pilots suggests the necessity to use reliable indices (markers) of the body load. This load may be evaluated with already known biochemical markers being of diagnostic value to assess human body adaptation to physical exercise. Such markers include, among other, parameters of lipid metabolism, such as: apolipoprotein A₁ (Apo-A₁), apolipoprotein B (Apo-B), triacylglycerols (TAG), low density lipoprotein (LDL), and high density lipoprotein (HDL). Physical activity and diet exert an effect on changes in their values [3]. WS, which was tested in view of adaptation to physical exercise in athletes’ training, considering physical exercise [4] in soldiers both physically active and inactive [4, 5], long distance runners prior to and after runs of various distances [6]. WS also was used in monitoring hormone replacement therapy in women [7]. A significant feature of the WS is an increase in its value when physical fitness increases, and its decrease indicating a drop of physical fitness [5].

OBJECTIVES

In this study, an attempt was made to evaluate the body load of cadets with the stimulus being a variation of the interval-type acceleration characteristics during tests in the human centrifuge, to answer the following questions:

1. Has WS diagnostic value in the differentiation of cadets’ physical fitness versus a total time of exposure to +Gz during the test in the human centrifuge?
2. Is there a correlation between WS value in the examined cadets and total duration of exposure to +Gz during the tests in the human centrifuge?

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The study involved 19 cadets of the first class of the Air Force Academy in Dęblin, Poland, aged 19 years, on average, with the speciality of aircraft pilot (Tab. 1). Cadets were divided into 2 groups after completion of test I, depending on the total duration of exposure to +Gz. Cadets who achieved less than 300.5 sec (average value of duration) of the total duration of exposure to +Gz were assigned to group I (n=11), while the
remaining cadets were assigned to group II (n=8). Group I included cadets of the total exposure to +Gz amounting to 254.2 sec., while group II included cadets whose total exposure to +Gz was longer. Exposure to +Gz of 254.2 sec. was the highest in group I.

The authors obtained permission to perform the study from the Ethical Commission of the Biomedical Research Studies at the Military Institute of Aviation Medicine. (Decision No. 03/2008 of 21.04.2008).

**Methods.** Blood samples for examination were drawn twice, from the elbow vein following both exposures to +Gz, at the beginning (test I), and after a 45-day period of training (test II).

Assay A: immediately prior to exposure to +Gz.

Assay B: immediately after exposure to +Gz.

The following lipid parameters were assayed in the collected blood samples:

Apo-A, and Apo-B with immunoturbimetric technique [8], using reagents by OLYMPUS and auto-analyzer OLYMPUS AV400, TAG with enzymatic technique, HDL with precipitation technique, and LDL was estimated from Friedewald formula. Enzymatic-oxidase method was used to assay total cholesterol (TC).

WS was calculated from the following formula:

\[
WS = \frac{(HDL + \frac{TAG}{6}) \times Apo - A}{(LDL + \frac{TAG}{5}) \times Apo - B} \times 10
\]

where: WS – Wochyński and Sobiec lipid index;
HDL – high density lipoprotein (mg/dL);
LDL – low density lipoprotein (mg/dL);
TAG – triacylglycerols (mg/dL);
6’ – percent TAG content in HDL lipoprotein;
5’ – percent TAG content in LDL lipoprotein;
Apo-\(A\) – apolipoprotein A, (mg/dL);
Apo-\(B\) – apolipoprotein B (mg/dL);
30’ – percent Apo-\(A\) content in HDL lipoprotein;
20’ – percent Apo-\(B\) content in LDL lipoprotein;
10 – multiplier.

**Procedure and design.** Exercises within a directed educational programme were performed for 2 hours, 3 times a week for 45 days. This programme aimed at performing systemic exercises with emphasis on isometric muscle training. The muscle groups involved in the anti-G straining L-1 maneuver were strained. Based on EEM measurements, these muscles included the lattissimus dorsi, intercostal muscle, buccinator muscle, sternocleidomastoideus, diaphragm and pectoralis major [9], as well as the rectus femoris muscle, abdominal rectus muscle, and spine erector [10].

Cadets were provided with the same conditions of living and diet during the whole period of education. They were provided with a standard diet according to the principles of the institutional nutrition. Calorie value of the daily diet was 4,500 kcal, including 150 g (30%) fat, 112.5 g (10%) protein, and 675 g (60%) carbohydrates.

Examined cadets were exposed to +Gz in the human centrifuge twice, with variation of the interval-type acceleration of isometric training characteristics. During the exposure, acceleration was +3.5 Gz/s, intervals (acceleration-pause) were 15 sec. The authors assumed that the loading programme would be realized by the examined cadets and measured according their physical capabilities by the number reaching the peak of +6Gz (Fig. 1, Tab. 1). Total time of exposure to +Gz achieved in tests I and II depended on the cadets-pilots maximum exercise capabilities. The decision about the test completion was made by the cadets themselves.
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**Statistical analysis.** Mean and standard deviation of all parameters were calculated by statistical analysis. Differences in lipid parameters prior to and after centrifugation in both groups were calculated with t-test for depended groups, while differences between groups – with t-test for independent groups. Pearson correlation coefficient (r-Pearson) was used to calculate correlation between total centrifugation time and lipid parameters in the investigated groups. Computer programme STATISTICA 9.0 was used for statistical analysis of the obtained results. Differences were considered significant when the calculated p-value was less than 0.05.

**RESULTS**

A statically insignificant difference between both groups in relation to the age, body weight, height and BMI was observed during tests I and II (Tab. 1).

**Figure 1.** Graph of loading profile

**Table 1.** Age, somatic features, and physical parameters in examined groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Group I (N=11)</th>
<th>Group II (N=8)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>71.9 ±8.10</td>
<td>71.5 ±5.10</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>71.4 ±8.04</td>
<td>71.3 ±5.06</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.78 ±0.04</td>
<td>1.79 ±0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1.78 ±0.04</td>
<td>1.79 ±0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg m⁻²)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>22.57 ±2.05</td>
<td>22.26 ±1.44</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>22.53 ±2.01</td>
<td>22.23 ±1.40</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total duration of exposure to +Gz in test I: 238.30 ±26.83 s, 386.16 ±27.41 s, <0.001.

Total duration of exposure to +Gz in test II: 262.74 ±95.5 s, 399.95 ±103 s, <0.001.

No. of reached peak +6Gz in test I: 3.63 ±0.67, 7.62 ±0.74, <0.001.

No. of reached peak +6Gz in test II: 4.27 ±2.61, 8.0 ±0.0, <0.001.

Mean ± SD, p – value of difference significance; NS – no statistically significant; I – test I prior to the education programme; II – test II after education programme.
The obtained results after test I in group I showed a statistically significant increase in HDL at p<0.01 in comparison with assay B, compared with assay A. The remaining differences were statistically insignificant (Tab. 2). Total duration of exposure to +Gz in the group I – 238.3 sec. (Tab. 1).

After the test II, in group I statistically significant increase in HDL and LDL values at p<0.05 in comparison with assay A of group I; the remaining differences were statistically insignificant (Tab. 2). Total duration of exposure to +Gz – 399.95 sec. (Tab. 1).

The obtained results after test I in group II showed a statistically significant increase in HDL at p<0.05 in comparison with assay B, compared with assay A. The remaining differences were statistically insignificant (Tab. 2). Total duration of exposure to +Gz was 262.6 sec. (see Table 1).

The results obtained after test I in group II showed a statistically significant increase in HDL at p<0.05 in comparison with assay A, compared with assay A. The remaining differences were statistically insignificant at p<0.05 in comparison with values in assay A of group I; the remaining differences were statistically insignificant (Tab. 2). Total duration of exposure to +Gz – 386.16 sec. (Tab. 1).

After test II in group II, a statistically significant increase in HDL at p<0.01 was noted in assay B, compared with assay A. The remaining differences were statistically insignificant (Tab. 2). Total duration of exposure to +Gz – 399.95 sec. (Tab. 1).

The results obtained after tests I and II conducted on groups I and II were compared. Assay B of test I showed a decrease in the concentration of values TC at p<0.05 in group II, compared to group I. No marked differences of the mean values for both groups were found in the remaining parameters (Tab. 2).

Assay A of test II showed a statistically significant decrease in the concentration of values TC at p<0.005, LDL at p<0.05, Apo-B at p<0.01, in group II, compared with group I. The remaining differences were statistically insignificant (Tab. 2). Assay B of test II showed a statistically significantly decrease in the concentration values TC at p<0.005, LDL at p<0.05, Apo-B at p<0.005, in group II, compared with group I. The remaining differences were statistically insignificant (Tab. 2).

Correlations were shown between lipid parameters and WS and total duration of exposure to +Gz in groups I and II in test I and test II. The correlations also were statistically insignificant (Tab. 2). Total duration of exposure to +Gz was lower than in group II (Tab. 3).

### Table 2. Results of lipid parameters assayed in serum of group I and group II prior to and after exposure in tests I and II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Group I (N=11)</th>
<th>Group II (N=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assay A</td>
<td>Assay B</td>
<td>Assay A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mg/dL)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>183.1±31.1</td>
<td>188.9±32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>201.1±32.3</td>
<td>201.7±33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>50.8±9.1</td>
<td>54.0±8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>53.0±9.8</td>
<td>56.0±10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>102.9±28.0</td>
<td>107.1±35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>106.9±34.2</td>
<td>115.5±33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>147.0±70.0</td>
<td>138.9±107.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>159.7±75.6</td>
<td>150.3±81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>140.0±12.7</td>
<td>142.7±10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>148.1±12.6</td>
<td>151.4±14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>89.2±19.3</td>
<td>91.2±20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo-A₁ (mg/dL)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>93.4±18.7</td>
<td>96.0±20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>6.9±3.4</td>
<td>7.0±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo-B (mg/dL)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>7.0±3.7</td>
<td>6.8±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assay A – immediately before exposure to +Gz; assay B – immediately after exposure to +Gz; I – test I prior to education programme; II – test II after education programme; means SD; a – difference statistically significant at p<0.05 in comparison with values in assay A of group I; b – difference statistically significant at p<0.01 in comparison with values in assay A of group I; c – difference statistically significant at p<0.005 in comparison with values in assay A of group I; d – difference statistically significant at p<0.001 in comparison with values in assay A of group I; e – difference statistically significant at p<0.05 in comparison with values in assay B of group I; f – difference statistically significant at p<0.01 in comparison with values in assay B of group I; g – difference statistically significant at p<0.005 in comparison with values in assay B of group I; h – difference statistically significant at p<0.001 in comparison with values in assay B of group I; i – difference statistically significant at p<0.0005 in comparison with values in assay B of group I; j – difference statistically significant at p<0.0001 in comparison with values in assay B of group I.

### Table 3. Correlation r- Pearson between lipid parameters and WS with total duration of exposure to +Gz in groups I and II in test I and test II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Group I (N=11)</th>
<th>Group II (N=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assay A</td>
<td>Assay B</td>
<td>Assay A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mg/dL)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo-A₁ (mg/dL)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo-B (mg/dL)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I – test I prior to education programme; II – test II after education programme; r – correlation statistically significant at p<0.05.
DISCUSSION

The results of assays performed at the beginning (test I) and at the end (test II) of the education programme showed that the time of physical training did not exert a significant effect on WS before and after exposure to +Gz, nor on the change of the total duration of exposure to +Gz in both groups. Comparison of results obtained in group I and group II in tests I and II, i.e. at the start and at the end of physical training in assays A and B, showed lower WS values in group I, as opposed to group II where the tendency was the opposite. An insignificant increase in WS value in assay B of test I, in comparison with the baseline value in assay A, justifies the conclusion that the examined cadets were adequately fit and higher loads could be applied. If one is discussing body response to the applied stimulus in test I after exposure to +Gz, WS values in test II could be analyzed in the category of adaptation level to the applied stimulus. A decreased WS value in comparison with its baseline value in group I in the test II may prove a lack of adaptation as a result of the load used in this group and significant body sensitivity to the applied stimulus.

It is worth noting the fact that in both groups a significant difference was observed in an increase in HDL value in test I and test II after exposure to +Gz, compared with baseline values, whereas differences in Apo-A, TAG, and WS increase were not significant.

The significant increase in HDL values may be associated with an increase in the testosterone level in both groups. A positive correlation between blood serum testosterone and HDL was seen after 10 weeks of training. Simultaneously, it was observed that the training produces changes in these two parameters [11]. Positive correlation between HDL and V̇O₂max: r = 0.60 at p < 0.001, and between Apo-A, and V̇O₂max: r = 0.60 at p < 0.001 was noted [12].

Comparison of HDL and Apo-A concentration in assays A and B of test II in groups I and II suggests that the overall fitness of group I, in which HDL and Apo-A concentrations were higher, should be better than that in group II. However, total duration of exposure to +Gz, being longer in group II than in group I in test II, contradicts this. A longer total duration of exposure to +Gz in group II was confirmed by WS, which showed a significant difference between both groups in assays A and B, to the advantage of group II.

It seems that the adaptation of the cadets to the exercise of this type depended on the appropriate blood lipids profile and percent content of ST and FT muscular fibres. The study showed a positive correlation between total duration of exposure to +Gz and HDL and Apo-A concentrations, and an inverse correlation of blood serum TAG in group II in assay B of tests I and II. A relationship between type of muscular fibres and lipids was confirmed by the study of Tikkanen et al. [13], which showed a positive correlation between ST muscle fibres and HDL level: r = 0.57 at p < 0.001. Apo-A₁: r = 0.60 at p < 0.001, and an inverse correlation with TAG: r = -0.43 at p < 0.001. This means that the skeletal muscles participating in straining maneuvers, especially the ST-type fibres, exert an effect on blood lipid levels. WS, which positively correlates with duration of exposure to +Gz, may be a useful diagnostic measure of the work of ST-type skeletal muscles. Comparing the obtained correlations between WS and total duration of exposure to +Gz in groups I and II, a higher endurance of group II in comparison with group I was noted.

Analysis of the obtained individual results in group I in test II, assay B showed that cadets with WS value below the mean of 6.8 had lower levels of endurance and strength as proved by their mean total time of exposure to +Gz (262.74 sec). It is worth stressing that one cadet in group I achieved a much better result in test II in assay B than in test I. His results were similar to these achieved in group II; his WS was 12.93 and total duration of exposure to +Gz – 399.9 sec. This confirms a rule observed in analysis between groups and within group I, that higher values of WS translate into higher physical fitness. Cadets of group I with WS above 6.80 and below the mean value of the total duration of exposure to +Gz – 262.74 sec. are only enduring but not strong.

In group II, cadets with WS value above the mean of 10.1 and average total duration of exposure to +Gz of 399.95 sec., had both strength and endurance, compared with group I. It seems that the lower value of WS in group I may result from improper execution of anti-G straining manoeuvre L-1 (lower number of engaged groups of muscles) or genetic distribution of FT- and ST-type muscular fibres.

In other studies of two groups of athletes performing stream-type systemic training (general mezocycle) and strength-speed training (special mezocycle), WS was measured prior to, immediately after, and 24 hours after the training, with an application of the identical training units. WS was significantly different in both groups of athletes after 24 hours following the end of the general and special mezocycles, in comparison with baseline values. A significant increase in WS value immediately after stream-type training, in comparison with its value at the end of the general mezocycle, and no significant changes immediately after strength-speed training, may suggest that the character of the exercise exerted a marked effect on WS in athletes. Stream-type training of athletes emphasized all motor features, using 416 exercises. The value of the WS was higher than that in athletes on the strength-speed training, where the number of muscles engaged in an effort was lower [4].

Lower WS in group I may result also from the predisposition to rapid movements, due to the higher number of FT-type muscular fibres engaged in anaerobic processes (metabolism of lactates). Studies by Wochyński et al. [14] showed that interval endurance-speed training significantly decreased HDL cholesterol and TAG in anaerobic lactic acid metabolism. This may explain the shorter total duration of exposure to +Gz in group I, compared with group II. Studies by Schele and Kaiser [15] confirm such a relationship. These authors, examining a vastus lateralis muscle sample, showed that an athlete with a higher number of FT-type muscular fibres was slower in running 2,000 m, and faster at the distance of 40 m.

Shono et al. [16] showed a significant increase in the proportion of capillaries per one muscular fibre after low-intensity endurance training, in comparison with baseline value. Changes in this proportion inversely correlate with LDL/HDL. In the presented study, the lower WS in group I than that in group II may also indicate lower blood vessels elasticity, associated with higher TC, LDL cholesterol, and Apo-B levels. In the current study, a significant increase in Apo-B was noted in group I in assay B of test II, compared with the value in assay A. Significant differences in Apo-B, LDL, and TC concentrations were found between the studied groups in assays A and B of test II.

Shono et al. [17], examining a sample of vastus lateralis skeletal muscle in men of BMI=22.7 ± 2.5 kg/m², showed that
the number of capillaries around type II(b) muscular fibres correlated inversely with blood serum LDL level. The number of capillaries per one muscle fibre, number of capillaries per surface, and around of each type of muscle fibres, correlated inversely with serum LDL and Apo-B. Moreover, the diffusion index of fibre type correlated positively with LDL and Apo-B. The results obtained by Shono et al. [17] indicate that the density of skeletal muscle capillaries and diffusion capacity are associated with lipid and apolipoprotein levels in both types of fibres.

It seems that the value of WS in group II in comparison with group I in the presented study may indicate that the examined cadets did not perform maximal isometric muscle contractions, which determine oxygen and energy substances supplied to the muscular cells, depending on the rate of intramuscular and intravascular pressures.

CONCLUSIONS

This study shows that WS has diagnostic value in differentiating the physical fitness of cadets during the test in the human centrifuge. Higher positive correlation between WS and total time of exposure to +Gz in group II, compared to group I, confirms the diagnostic value of this parameter in differentiating the cadets efforts and capabilities.
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